



Research Article

KARYOTYPIC DIVERSITY BETWEEN RAINBOW TROUT (ONCORHYNCHUS MYKISS, WALBAUM) AND SNOW TROUT (SCHIZOTHORAX RICHARDSONII, GRAY)

Satesh Vasave¹, Amita Saxena¹, S. K. Srivastava²

¹College of Fisheries, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand – 263 145.

²Senior Scientist, Experimental Fish Farm, Champawat (Directorate of Coldwater Fisheries Research, Bhimtal)

Correspondence should be addressed to **Amita Saxena**

Received November 02, 2015; Accepted February 13, 2016; Published February 14, 2016;

Copyright: © 2016 **Amita Saxena** et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Cite This Article: Vasave, S., Saxena, A., Srivastava, S.(2016). Karyotypic diversity between rainbow trout (*oncorhynchus mykiss*, walbaum) and snow trout (*schizothorax richardsonii*, gray). Journal of Plant & Agriculture Research, 2(1).1-5

ABSTRACT

The Cytogenetic studies were carried out in two important coldwater fishes, Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and Snow trout (*Schizothorax richardsonii*), by Giemsa staining. The diploid chromosome number (2n) in Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) the modal diploid number was found to be $2n = 60$. The karyotype formula obtained consist of 38 metacentric (M), 6 submetacentric (Sm) and 16 telocentric chromosomes ($24M + 20 Sm + 16 T$). The fundamental arm number was derived to be 208 (FN = 208) and in Snow trout (*Schizothorax richardsonii*), the modal diploid number was found to be $2n = 96$. The karyotype formula obtained consist of 18 metacentric (M), 16 submetacentric (Sm) and 12 subtelo-centric and 50 telocentric chromosomes ($18 M + 28 Sm + 50 T$). The fundamental arm number was derived to be 284 (FN = 284). This is the attempt to compare the wild snow trout obtained from Himalayan stream and farm raised Rainbow trout in these species using conventional staining.

KEY WORDS: Rainbow trout, Snow trout, karyotype.

INTRODUCTION

The rich fish diversity of India is reflected in its large number of documented 2163 fish species of which 157 from the coldwater environment (Ayappan, 2006). Cytogenetic studies in recent years gained a considerable importance concerning species characterization, evolution and systematic (Gold *et al.*, 1990; Barat *et al.*, 2002). The cytogenetic studies in fishes are limited to just about 10% of the total fishes known taxonomically all over the world (Barat *et al.*, 1996). Fish chromosome data have great

importance concerning evolution, systematics, aquaculture and mutagenesis (Al-Sabti, 1991).

The lack of data on karyotypes of fishes in Uttarakhand (a hill state of India) provoked us to examine chromosomal study of farm raised Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and wild Snow trout (*Schizothorax richardsonii*).

The efforts to develop farming practices for rainbow trout started especially in Jammu and Kashmir and Himachal Pradesh. Presently there are about 23 trout hatcheries in different states of the country with 1.5 to 2.0 million annual seed production capacity. The species can

withstand vast ranges of temperature variation (0-27 °C), but spawning and growth occurs in a narrower range (9-14 °C). The optimum water temperature for rainbow trout culture is below 21 °C. But at Experimental Fish Farm, Champawat (DCFR) it was observed that Rainbow trout attained maturity even in less than two years during February, 2011 due to climatic changes.

Snow trout, an indigenous cold water riverine and short migratory fish is locally known as *asla*. No technology has been developed yet for commercial culture. It is a good sport and food fish.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Snow trout (*Schizothorax richardsonii*) (n=57) were collected from Gaudi River in Champawat. Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) (n = 25) were taken from the Experimental Fish Farm, Champawat (DCFR). All the specimens were immature and the sex could not be identified. Fishes were administered intramuscularly with 0.05% colchicine (1.0 ml / 100 gm body weight) to stop the nuclear division and maintained alive for 1.30 hours in a plastic tub. The specimens were then sacrificed and the head kidney was processed for chromosome preparations using hypotonic treatment of 0.56% Potassium chloride. The fixation was done by methanol - acetic acid (3:1) and slides prepared by flame-drying technique. The chromosome slides were stained with 4% Giemsa in phosphate buffer pH 6.8). The chromosome slides were mounted with synthetic neutral mountant DPX and cleaned with xylene. The slides then observed under microscope

for good metaphase spreads. For karyotyping, chromosomes were grouped into metacentric (m), submetacentric (sm), subtelocentric (st) and telocentric (t) as per the classification proposed by Levan *et al.* (1964).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The stained slides of metaphase chromosomes were photographed and analyzed. Counts of a total 150 metaphase spreads from 10 different specimens of Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and Snow trout (*Schizothorax richardsonii*) showed the following results.

In case of Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), the modal diploid number was found to be $2n = 60$ Figure 1 The karyogram (i.e. karyotype formula) obtained by arranging the chromosomes in order to decreasing size and centromere position consisted of 38 metacentric (M), 6 submetacentric (Sm) and 16 telocentric chromosomes (24M + 20 Sm + 16 T). The fundamental arm number was derived to be 208 (FN = 208) Figure 2

In case of Snow trout (*Schizothorax richardsonii*), the modal diploid number was found to be $2n = 96$

The karyogram (i.e. karyotype formula) obtained by arranging the chromosomes in order to decreasing size and centromere position consisted of 18 metacentric (M), 16 submetacentric (Sm) and 12 Subtelocentric and 50 telocentric chromosomes (18 M + 28 Sm + 50 T). The fundamental arm number was derived to be 284 (FN = 284), Figure 4.

Figure 1: Metaphase spread of Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), $2n = 60$




Figure 2: Karyotype of Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*)




Figure 3: Metaphase spread of Snow trout (*Schizothorax richardsonii*), $2n = 96$.



Figure 4: Karyotype of Snow trout (*Schizothorax richardsonii*)



DISCUSSION

The chromosomal studies revealed that the diploid chromosome number in Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and Snow trout (*Schizothorax richardsonii*) was to be $2n = 60$ and $2n = 96$; karyotype formula $24 M + 20 Sm + 16 T$ and respectively. Ohno et. al. (1965) also reported $2n = 60$ diploid chromosome number in case of Rainbow trout. Thorgaard (1976) reported 6 triploid individuals in a full-sib family of 11 adult rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) from a domesticated hatchery stock. Among the 11 fish in family 267, showed that five were diploid with 59 or 60 chromosomes, and six were triploid with 89 or 90 chromosomes. The karyotype formula reported was $22 M + 22 Sm + 1 St + 7 T$. Ohno et. al. (1965) also reported the same diploid chromosome number in Rainbow trout.

Gold (1975) has been also reported modal haploid number $2n = 58$ in golden trout (*Salmo aquaboniatata*), karyotype formula was $22 M + 1 Sm + 1 St + 5 T$ and fundamental arm number (FN) = 104. The difference between the diploids with 59 and 60 chromosomes appeared to be associated with a Robertsonian rearrangement. These differences in chromosome number among fish with the same number of chromosome arms have also been observed in other rainbow trout populations (Ohno et al. 1965; Thorgaard, 1976).

Although most cells from the kidney and ovary had hypo-triploid chromosome numbers, determination of chromosome arm numbers, where possible, revealed that the variation probably resulted from chromosome loss during the preparation procedure and not from the intraindividual Robertsonian variation that has been reported in some salmonid fish (Ohno et al. 1965; Davisson et. al. 1973).

After seeing the observations on chromosomes it can be concluded that the chromosomes number of Snow trout (*Schizothorax richardsonii*) (collected from hill streams of Uttarakhand, India) was found to be $2n = 96$ while in Cyprinids the modal diploid chromosome number $2n = 50$

generally. The diploid chromosome number reported in Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) was $2n = 60$. It is to be mentioned that heavy rainfall and land sliding happened severally, before the observation in this year.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are thankful to Dean, CFS, PGS, GBPUAT, Pantnagar, India and Director of Directorate of Coldwater Fisheries Research, Bhimtal, India for providing research facilities. One of the authors Mr. Satesh Vasave (JRF) is thankful to ICAR for the financial grant to pursue this research.

REFERENCES

- [1] Al-Sabti K. Handbook of Genotoxic effects and fish chromosomes. *Ljubljana* 1991; p.97.
- [2] Ayappan S. In Handbook of Fisheries and Aquaculture, ICAR publication, Reprint 2006.
- [3] Barat A, Sahoo P K, Ponniah A G. Karyotype and localization of NOR in threatened species *Tenulosa ilisha* (Ham) (Cluppidae: Pisces). *La Kromosomo* II.1996; 82:2828-2832.
- [4] Barat A, Sahoo PK, Ponniah AG. Karyotype and Nucleolar Organizer Regions (NORs) in a few hill stream fishes. In: Ayyappan S, Jena JK, Joseph MM (Eds). The Fifth Indian Fisheries Forum Proceedings, AFSIB, Mangalore and AoA, Bhubaneswar, 2002; pp.111-114.
- [5] Davisson M, . T., J. E. Wrigitt and L. M. Atherton, 1973. Linkage of LDH loci in the teleost genus *Salvelinus*. *Genetics* 73: 645-658.
- [6] Levan A., Fredga K., Sandberg A. A. Nomenclature for centromeric position on chromosomes. *Hereditas*, 1964, 52: 201-220.
- [7] Gold J. R., 1975. Phenetics and genetics of high sierran golden trout. *Call-neva wildlife transaction*.
- [8] Gold JR, Li YC, Shipley and Powers PK. Improved methods for working with fish chromosomes with a review of metaphase chromosome banding. *J. Fish. Biol.*1990; 37:563-575.

- [9] Ohno, S., C. Stenius, Faisst and M. T. Zenzes, 1965. Post-zygotic chromosomal rearrangements in rainbow trout (*Salmo irideus*, Gibbons). *Cytogenetics* 4: 117-129.
- [10] Thorgaard G. H., 1976. Robertsonian polymorphism and constitutive heterochromatin distribution in chromosomes of the rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*). *Cytogenet. Cell Genet.*, 17: 174-184.

